



**ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS (EEL) PROGRAM
SELECTION & MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (SMC)
September 29, 2011
Attendance List**

SELECTION & MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Sue Hann
Ross Hinkle
Randy Parkinson
Laurilee Thomson
Paul Schmalzer
Kim Zarillo

EEL PROGRAM STAFF

Jenny Ashbury
Xavier de Seguin des Hons
Laura Clark
Mike Knight
Brad Manley
Katrina Morrell
Chris O'Hara

GUESTS

Shavonne Hoyte, KCG, Inc.
Vince Lamb, Procedures Committee
Matthew C. Tilke, KCG, Inc.
Bonnie Wilbanks, Administrator, Town of Malabar

*Protecting and Preserving Biological Diversity
Through Responsible Stewardship of Brevard County's Natural Resources*

*September 29, 2011
Approved October 20, 2011*



**ENVIRONMENTALLY ENDANGERED LANDS (EEL) PROGRAM
SELECTION & MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (SMC)
September 29, 2011
Meeting Minutes**

CALL TO ORDER:

Randy Parkinson, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 1:03 PM.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Vince Lamb explained that registration is now open for the Brevard Naturalist Program which will be held at the Enchanted Forest Sanctuary on Saturday, October 22 and Sunday, October 23, 2011.

This part of the program is the first in a series of three modules featuring the natural areas of Brevard. Participants will learn about the plants and animals of Brevard's uplands from experts in the field. Presentations will be given on native plants, reptiles, archeology, and birds. The course also includes a field trip through the Enchanted Forest Sanctuary and Dicerandra Scrub Sanctuary.

Vince also noted that the Friends of Ulumay will be moving their monthly meetings from Kiwanas Island to the Merritt Island Public Library and Katrina Morrell, EEL Program Environmental Education Coordinator, will be giving a presentation on the Pine Island Conservation Area and Sams House Management and Education Center at their next meeting on October 17, 2011.

MINUTES:

The August 25, 2011 minutes were presented for approval.

Additional Discussion

Laurilee Thompson commented on Page 8 related to Ross and Kim's suggestion to contact Neta Harris with Brevard Nature Alliance about possibly working with the local hotel industry for assistance with funding of materials that might be placed in hotel lobbies. Laurilee provided information on the Loop Tours that the County is in the process of developing, and she stated her opinion that it would be more beneficial if efforts were directed towards establishing a nature page on the Tourism Development Council (TDC) web site. She also suggested that consideration be given to having EEL Program staff assist with that portion of the TDC web site, and that having direct links from the TDC web site to the EEL Program's web site would be a good idea.

Randy asked if there were additional comments to the August 25, 2011 minutes.

Paul Schmalzer made the following comments:

- It was his understanding that the area Mike had referred to in the next to last paragraph on Page 3, was on private land north of the Scottsmoor Flatwoods Sanctuary, not within the sanctuary footprint.
- The Parrish Holder Land Corp. property was stated as being located west of Parrish Park on Page 7, while it is actually located south of Holder Park.

Mike Knight confirmed that both of Paul's statements were correct. The minutes will be revised accordingly.

MOTION ONE:

Paul Schmalzer moved to approved the August 25, 2011 minutes as amended.

Sue Hann seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW

Budget Update

On September 27, 2011, the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) voted to approve the FY 11/12 budget as proposed on August 2, 2011, with a few minor exceptions which were not related to the EEL Program.

Turnbull Farms Property

Anticipated closing late September or early October.

Air Force Partnership Funding

The EEL Program has received the FY 11/12 Air Force Partnership Funding contribution of \$1.2 million for future land acquisition partnerships with up to \$200,000 of the funding being available for management of lands covered by their conservation easement.

Eagle Scout Recognitions

On October 6, 2011 the BOCC will consider a Resolution recognizing the two Brevard County Boy Scout Districts for their historical and continuing contributions to EEL Program sanctuaries through Eagle Scout Projects.

Program Considerations for Incorporation of Trails as Passive Recreation on EEL Program Sites

This document, which received approval from the SMC on August 25th has been finalized and distributed to EEL Program Staff, SMC, and REAC.

Sams House at Pine Island Conservation Area

Katrina Morrell explained that the Sams House Management and Education Center exhibits have been installed and she has moved her office to the facility in preparation for increasing the hours the facility will be open. She also gave a presentation with information on the Center and the exhibits. Volunteer training is scheduled for November 10th and an open house is being planned for December 10th.

Randy commented that he has been to the Sams House Management and Education Center and that it is a wonderful site with many opportunities for eco-tourism and environmental education.

Ross Hinkle commented that he has been working to build a stronger relationship between conservation biology and the Rosen School of Hospitality, who have experts on integrating nature based tourism into a local economy and that he hopes they can attend an SMC meeting in the future.

Additional Discussion – Carl N. Becker Stewardship Award

Mike informed the group that the EEL Program will be the 2011 recipient of the Natural Areas Association Carl N. Becker Stewardship Award to individuals or groups in recognition of excellence and achievement in managing protected areas. The award will be presented in

November during this year's annual meeting, which is being held in Tallahassee. Additional information will be provided in the future.

SMC REPORTS

REAC Update

The REAC will be holding a field trip to the Scottsmoor Flatwoods Sanctuary on October 2, 2011 in preparation for their review of the public access plan.

Additional Discussion

Paul stated that he will be leading a Florida Native Plant Society field trip to the Dicerandra Scrub Sanctuary on October 22, 2011 for Native Plant Society members and other members of the public who might wish to attend.

STAFF REPORTS

None.

LAND ACQUISITION STATUS UPDATE

Jenny Ashbury provided an overview of the September Current Willing Seller Prioritization Sheet.

- FIND property Exchange: agenda item.
- Oakmont Preserve property: still in active negotiation.
- Parrish Holder Land Corp. property, Acquisition & USAF Partnership funding contracts: scheduled for BOCC review on October 4th.
- Roper Harding property: still in active negotiations which are contingent on closing of Parrish Holder property. Closing anticipated prior to end of the year. Qualifies for USAF partnership funding.
- Scottsmoor Inholdings: still in active negotiations, no contracts as of yet.
- Turnbull Farms: possible closing at end of next week.

Additional Discussion

Paul stated that the July SMC minutes had indicated an agreement on contract price for the Grant/Thompson property and he asked for clarification on the status of this item.

Jenny stated that Ms. Grant had agreed to a price by phone, but nothing had been signed. She indicated that the owner was still considering advice received from others related to the acquisition, but the process was moving along.

Clarification was also requested regarding whether the remainder of the \$450,000 allocation of USAF partnership funding received last year would go away at the end of the year, or if it could be held if the funds were committed.

Jenny stated that the guidelines indicated that the funds should be spent by December 31st, but if a signed contract was in place, she could request a contract to extend the utilization of those funds. She also confirmed that the most recent \$1,200,000 allocation in USAF partnership funding was received by the County on September 15th.

AGENDA ITEMS

Parrish Holder Land Corporation and Roper Harding Titusville Partnership Properties

Mike explained that the discussion for both of these properties was of a similar nature. He noted that they both have a long acquisition history and he requested confirmation of the 2nd Majority Votes for each property in order to ensure complete documentation when bringing the Parrish Holder Land Corporation property acquisition contract to the Board of County Commissioners for final approval, and to ensure the continuing of negotiations on the Roper Harding Titusville Partnership site. Mike stated that he understands that it has always been the intention of the SMC to move towards acquisition for both properties and he stated that this action was being requested just to reconfirm that intention.

Paul stated his understanding that the SMC has continually been supportive of moving forward on the acquisitions of both these properties since the most recent willing seller application was received. He commented that a new 1st Majority Vote had been done since that time, and he noted the importance of ensuring that all votes are clearly documented. In addition he requested that the project design report be revised to include a space for the 2nd Majority Vote, and that the land summary sheet be modified to include the following dates: receipt of willing-seller application, 1st and 2nd Majority Votes, ordering of appraisals, and finalization of negotiation strategy. He requested that staff find a way to incorporate the information in a manner that did not require voluminous output.

Mike and Jenny confirmed the requested changes would not be difficult.

MOTION TWO

Paul Schmalzer moved to confirm a 2nd Majority Vote on the Parrish Holder Land Corporation Property.

Kim Zarillo seconded the motion.

Additional Discussion

Randy asked if there were any questions or comments. None were received.

The motion carried unanimously.

MOTION THREE

Paul Schmalzer moved to approve a 2nd Majority Vote on the Roper Harding Titusville Partnership Property to authorize negotiations with the understanding that the acquisition is contingent on the closing of the Parrish Holder Land Corporation site.

Laurilee Thompson seconded the motion.

Additional Discussion

Randy asked if there were any questions or comments. None were received.

The motion carried unanimously.

AI Tuttle Trail – Malabar Scrub Sanctuary

Mike explained that the plans for the AI Tuttle Trail within the Malabar Scrub Sanctuary had been revised to include drainage information. He stated that this would presumably not be the SMC's only opportunity for discussion, but staff was not aware of whether or not there were any time constraints on the process. He added that the Town of Malabar had not had an opportunity to review the plans due to a mix up in the distribution process and that Bonilyn Wilbanks, Administrator for the Town of Malabar was in attendance at the meeting. Mike also explained that Matt Tilke and Shavonne Hoyte, from KCG, Inc., was present at the meeting to answer any questions.

Public Comment

Bonilyn stated that if the SMC was considering taking action during the current meeting, she was requesting that action be withheld because the Town had concerns that their Town Engineer needed to review. She apologized for any inconvenience that might occur and emphasized that she believed that this review could be completed in a week's time.

Additional Discussion and Public Comment

Randy asked for clarification of any time constraints.

Mike said that he knew Public Works wanted to move as quickly as possible, but he had not been given a firm timeframe. He said that it was his expectation that the discussion from the current meeting would generate some questions and they would probably be back at the next meeting with answers.

Ross suggested consideration of waiting to discuss the plans until the information from the Town could be received as it was possible that concerns from the Town could bring about a change to the plans.

Mike commented that he believed there were some issues the SMC could review, which he felt probably wouldn't come up during the Town's review. He stated his recommendation for a two phase process.

Randy said that the SMC would certainly want the Town's input before final decisions were made.

Mike said that staff has operated with the thought that the SMC will provide some sort of motion of blessing to the plans at some point. He added that the direction received from the Board was that the Committee should remain involved in the design as it moved forward, but it did not clarify the Committee needed to approve.

Matt confirmed their group was a consultant for Brevard County Public Works. He added that they will work directly with the Town of Malabar on portions of the plan that do impact the right of ways that belong to the Town, or the trail head, but the predominance of the 5,000 foot trail is within the EEL Program property. He confirmed that the swales were not required by the Water Management District, but that they were there to drain the pavement and base in order to prevent fire trucks from crushing the pavement.

Bonilyn reiterated her request for withholding SMC action until the Town could review the plans.

Ross stated that he did not think things could be solved until all the comments were on the table.

Sue Hann said that she did was not clear on the parameters of the SMC's jurisdiction regarding approval for the trail and she stated that she did not recall that the SMC had ever gone to this level of review for any other engineering project.

Kim Zarillo confirmed that the SMC has historically been involved with all construction projects on EEL Program sites, including the construction of the Management and Education Centers and consideration for the enlargement of the ditch at the Cruickshank Sanctuary in Rockledge. She stated that if the group discussed the plans, and the plans changed as a result of concerns from the Town of Malabar, they would need to have the discussions all over again.

Sue stated she felt that additional review of the plans for the paved trail was not within the purview of the SMC.

MOTION FOUR

Sue Hann moved to approve the concept of the paved trail as it was being presented at the September 29th meeting and to delegate responsibility of the remaining design details to the Town of Malabar and to staff.

Laurilee Thompson seconded the motion.

Additional Discussion

Randy asked for additional discussion. The following points were noted:

- Paul noted that he and Mike had exchanged e-mails regarding some of the questions he has had, but he still had some question about whether the trail is going to be completely within the footprint of the fire break, along with some other issues, and he would not be in support of moving forward until those items were addressed.
- Mike stated that there were some boundary issues that were not originally apparent where a fence was 4-5 feet off the correct location, which would cause the trail to move over and the swale to go 4-5 feet into the vegetation, but from staff's perspective, it didn't seem to be a big deal. He also referenced an area with a few large trees that would be nice for shade, but might create a potential fire problem. He confirmed that it was his perspective that the items would be easy to deal with.
- Clarification was provided that additional input from the REAC committee is not required relative to the layout of the trail design.
- Kim said that she would not support the motion because she agreed with Ross that they needed to see what the Town says, and then get the design after the Town's input.
- Paul asked for clarification of how many square meters of habitat would be removed as a result of the changes. Mike stated he could show everyone the layout plans and that staff also had some video of the area.
- Mike stated that the SMC had previously approved the footprint of the trail without consideration of the drainage needs, or whether or not swales would be required. He added that swales are now included following the majority of the interior edge of the trail, but staff feels they fit primarily within the fireline. He added that there are a few areas where the swales may move 3-5 feet into the vegetation, but they may not be able to control that due to boundary issues.

Randy asked for a restatement or clarification of the motion.

Sue Hann clarified the motion as follows:

MOTION FOUR (clarification)

Sue Hann moved to approve the concept of the paved trail at the Malabar Scrub Sanctuary as presented, and to delegate to the staff, the ability to work out design details with the Town of Malabar and Brevard County, and then if there is something egregiously different from what has been presented, Mike has the discretion to bring it back to the SMC if he thinks they need to see it again.

Laurilee Thompson seconded the motion.

The motion failed.

Yea: Sue Hann, Laurilee Thompson

Nay: Paul Schmalzer, Kim Zarillo, Randy Parkinson, Ross Hinkle

Additional Discussion and Public Comment

Mike stated that he was hearing that the SMC did not want to look at any of the issues today, and to hold everything until the Town of Malabar has completed their review.

Randy said that it was his understanding that the group wished to hold further consideration of the trail until the Town has had an opportunity to review and comment on the plans, and then the SMC could deliberate with all the information in front of them.

Mike asked if it was the intent of the SMC to do a formal vote of approval of the paved trail at the Malabar Scrub Sanctuary before the trail plan moved forward. He stated that there is no rule or direction that says a formal vote has to occur, so he just wanted to receive clarification of the Committee's intent to do a formal vote, because the issue could become contentious.

Kim and Paul said yes. Kim reiterated her statement that the SMC needed to see the final plan to be able to provide comments.

Ross said that this was a management plan action.

Paul said he felt that the question is: *Are the final plans which the Town of Malabar agrees to, consistent with what is written in the Management Plan for the Malabar Scrub Sanctuary?*

Mike said that he didn't disagree, he just needed to understand the SMC's position because there are a lot of differing opinions on things when it gets politically wrapped up, due to pressures with time constraints.

Paul stated that today was the first time they had seen a design that included swales. He added that last April the SMC had seen a line on a map, which represented the fire break, but this was the first new information the Committee had had on the trail for a couple of years, so he it was not his impression that the SMC was the reason for the hold up.

Mike said that he was not suggesting that, he just wanted to be sure everyone was on the same page with the end result being a motion by the SMC regarding approval, and with the expectation of the SMC that it doesn't move forward unless a formal vote of the committee passes it forward. He stated that there might be some people who would disagree that an SMC vote of approval was a requirement.

Sue said that she did not believe the SMC had the jurisdiction to do that. She said that the Malabar Paved Trail is a Brevard County project on a Brevard County property and she reiterated her feeling that it was not within the SMCs jurisdiction to say yes or no.

Randy stated that he believed that it was appropriate for the SMC to either approve, or disapprove of the design, based on their perception of the impact on the ecological function of the Sanctuary, and to voice their comment.

Mike said his concern was that if the SMC was not able to reach a conclusion for a motion of support at the next meeting in another 30 days, it could put the Transportation Department and engineers in a predicament and he was just trying to make sure that everyone understood their

could be time constraints so if the Committee had the expectation that they would finalize their work with a vote, they had to do it by the next meeting, potentially.

A suggestion was received to forward any new information related to the Town's concerns to the SMC prior to the next meeting.

Randy said that he did not know if a vote from the SMC could hold up construction of the trail, or not, but he would assume that the SMC does have thumbs up or thumbs down on the design of the trail, based on their perception of the impact that it would have on the Sanctuary. He said that it was not the Committee's intent to micro-manage, but they had been asked to comment on the design of the paved trail. He added that information they were given for review did not include input from the Town of Malabar, and in order to comment on the impact to ecosystem function, they needed to know what the final design of the trail would be.

Ross stated that it was important not to lose sight of the basic function of the SMC. He said that the County can not buy land unless the SMC recommends it; that was what the voters had set up and the reason they had established the Committee. He said that the SMC reviews things from a technical perspective based on their best knowledge as to whether an item meets the criteria for biodiversity and conservation. He confirmed that the Committee does approve the Management Plans and added that the SMC is a technical reference to the staff, the Brevard County Commission, and the citizens of Brevard County. Ross said that it appeared to him they were playing that role by receiving information about the trail, and making recommendations on things that could be a problem, or providing clarification that they don't see any major issues; after that, it would go forward and folks would do whatever they had to do.

Mike said that he agreed. He said that he thought the SMC would have one more opportunity to receive information from the Town regarding their concerns and then they will have to be prepared to make a recommendation, either for, or against the plan, because he believed that it would be moving forward through the next steps in the process. He added that he thought a recommendation was much different from an approval, and that was where he was trying to make his distinction.

Ross said that if the SMC finds a major issue and makes that known, and then the plan is moved forward, the County would take the responsibility for moving it forward, but he didn't see any problem with waiting to see what the Town had to say. He added that if there was a potential threat to an endangered species, or potential threat to the biodiversity, or to the conservation integrity of the site, it was the SMC's responsibility to make that known, and that was the reason they needed to see the final plan.

Paul stated that the Malabar Scrub Sanctuary is titled to the State and its management plan has been approved by the ARC Council in Tallahassee. He added that it includes the paved trail as specified in the sanctuary management document, so if the final plan deviates significantly from the original plan, then they would be out of compliance with an approved management plan.

Mike mentioned that the swales had not been included in the original plan.

Paul stated that in his opinion if the swales remain within the footprint of the existing firebreak, it probably wouldn't be a big issue, but if the Town of Malabar wanted the whole thing moved 10 feet to the west, that would be a different story.

Bonilyn stated that she did not think that would be the case under any circumstance and she mentioned her concerns regarding an area where there was a fork in the road, and another where it appeared the trail was not staying with the existing fire line.

Mike stated that it had been his perception that the issues mentioned by Bonilyn had been resolved.

Randy said that the Town of Malabar would be providing clarification of their concerns, and the information would be distributed to the SMC. He asked for clarification on time constraints.

Mike said that was information he did not have at the current time.

Randy reiterated that the SMC is interested in providing comment on the plans for the paved trail, but they need to figure out what is being proposed before the SMC can act on it.

Mike said he understood. He said that in light of the recent discussion, he would like to request input from the Committee regarding a particular issue related to the Town of Malabar.

Additional Discussion: Marie Street Right Of Way Issues

The Town of Malabar expressed concerns about the relocation of the existing dirt road off of the sanctuary property to the existing easement, because it has been determined that a well that is providing water to the adjacent house exists within the easement.

Ross stated that he didn't see any major conservation or ecological issues of leaving that road where it is, or moving it, and that personally, he felt that the Right of Way issue should be worked out between the Town of Malabar, staff, and the State.

Mike said that made it easy for staff, the only dilemma it created was if they were in the mode of putting in the trail, and they put it where the existing fire line is, and leaving the existing road, at some point in time, they would have to deal with that issue under their role as staff under a management lease obligation to prevent unauthorized access to the Sanctuary.

Bonilyn said that she understood, but the management plan says the trail will run up the fire lane, and the fire lane is not where the road is.

Randy, expressed his feeling that was an issue where the SMC did not need to be involved.

Laurilee asked if there were any other issues.

Additional Discussion: Request to not move forward with clearing of trees around outparcel

Mike asked for additional input from the SMC regarding a request from the Town of Malabar to not remove a strip of trees that existed to the south of a fire line adjacent to the outparcel on the west side of Marie Street .

Ross stated his opinion that from a conservation management perspective, he saw the property from the fire line inward, and that this was an issue that staff should work out with the Town, while ensuring the prevention of a hazardous situation.

EEL Program Financial Plan

Public Comment

None.

Mike explained that it is hoped that a consultant can be retained to assist with determining possible strategies for long term funding, which could be presented to the SMC and the PC for

their consideration. Staff assumes that ultimately, the SMC and PC will provide their recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners by updating that section of the Program's Land Acquisition Manual. He explained that staff is also working with County Administration on this item and he asked the SMC if there were any other thoughts or ideas the SMC would like to take to the County Manager.

Ross confirmed that the SMC had connected with the bond council during the establishment of the EEL Program.

He also stated that he would like to talk with the folks at the Rosen School of Hospitality to see if they might be able to assist with the determination of ways to maintain the conservation value of the EEL Program sites, while integrating nature based tourism into an economic asset that would generate funds to help sustain the Program.

Laurilee expressed her support for Ross's suggestion, and she suggested that that they would be a good group to work with the Tourism Development Council.

Randy mentioned that it was quite possible that there were many groups who were dealing with these types of issues for the first time, not just the EEL Program.

Sue said that she had some information which she could forward to Mike on companies that had recently worked with the City of Palm Bay.

Mike mentioned that the Sams House at the Pine Island Conservation Area has sleeping quarters for twelve people and staff is developing opportunities for overnight accommodations for working service groups and potentially, eco-tourism opportunities.

The group discussed checking with other conservation programs state wide to see how what they were doing during these tough economic times. Mike confirmed that he is involved in a network with other conservation programs and he will contact them to request information on their long term funding strategies.

Florida Inland Navigation District (FIND) Property Exchange

Mike reminded the group that the 3 way property exchange that The Nature Conservancy had been working on to bring a high quality scrub area in the Valkaria area into conservation was no longer an option. He added that the private property owner had decided they no longer wanted to be involved, because they had asked for advance confirmation of a guaranteed zoning change for the property they would be receiving, and staff could not guarantee this up front.

Ross and Laurilee asked why the zoning change could not be pursued.

Jenny stated that there were other issues as well, including the inability to determine ownership for a parcel that would have been in the middle of the new containment basin.

Ross stated his understanding that the Florida Inland Navigation District would have the authority to condemn and take ownership of the parcel in the event that an owner could not be identified.

Jenny stated that there were also issues with the Town of Grant/Valkaria related to a buffer zone which had created complications with the previous exchange idea.

Mike explained that staff has been in discussion with the folks at FIND related to a possible 2 way exchange of property. He provided information on the option which FIND had determined would be best, while providing clarification that they are not obligated to participate in the exchange.

Mike stated that Dave Breininger had provided input to staff that he would like to take a little more time to gather information on the option being presented. He suggested consideration of an SMC site visit.

The group discussed the new information. The following points were noted:

- FIND has had to redesign their containment basin due to previously unanticipated storage needs.
- FIND has an existing piping easement related to the pumping of spoil material.
- It is anticipated that the EEL Program will be asked to provide all funding for their redesign and the property exchange closing costs, in addition to assisting with mitigation efforts.
- A question was raised related to the request that the EEL Program had been asked to provide funding for all of the redesign and property exchange closing costs, if they had to redesign their basin anyway due to expanded need.
- The new exchange footprint being considered is to the north of a wetland.
- It may be possible that because the FIND site scrub is the very last of the high quality scrub in that area, and because it is occupied by Florida Scrub-Jays, a case could be made that it has a greater conservation value than the wetlands to the south.
- The US Fish and Wildlife Service will need to review the project.
- A suggestion was received to consider moving the overall project footprint a little to the south, and to provide clarification of the importance of the high quality scrub during the permitting process.
- The option being presented does not place as many acres of high quality scrub into conservation as the one being pursued by TNC, but it does protect some of the site which would have been used for a dredge spoil containment basin.
- It has been determined that conservation of a significant portion of the site will be required to ensure the viability of the Florida Scrub-Jay population in south Brevard County.

Jenny expressed her feeling that the folks at FIND were ready to move on the project in the near future, one way or the other.

Mike stated that he thought that they had negotiated all they could, unless the Committee thinks that the footprint is too big. If so, staff will relay that information and ask if FIND has another option they would like the SMC to consider.

Ross suggestion consideration of moving the footprint a little to the south.

MOTION FIVE

Ross Hinkle moved to approve a motion to conceptually agree with the most recent FIND property exchange option being presented by staff at the current meeting, while being open to consideration of other options which might move the project to the south if needed for permitting reasons.

Paul Schmalzer seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

A copy of the new footprint is included as part of these minutes.

Additional Discussion

Laurilee Thompson asked for clarification related to EEL Program staff assisting with a natural areas component of the County's Tourism Development Council web site.

Mike clarified that staff would be able to assist and he requested that Laurilee let him know what needed to be done.

Laurilee thanked him for the assistance and confirmed she would be in touch.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

NEXT MEETING

October 20, 2011 was discussed as a possible date for the next meeting. Staff will contact members by e-mail to confirm.

ADJOURNED

The meeting was adjourned at 3:52 PM.

SUMMARY OF MEETING MOTIONS

1. **Motion to approve the August 25, 2011 minutes as amended. Motion APPROVED**
2. **Motion to confirm a 2nd Majority Vote on the Parrish Holder Land Corporation Property. Motion APPROVED**
3. **Motion to approve a 2nd Majority Vote on the Roper Harding Titusville Partnership property, to authorize negotiations with the understanding that the acquisition is contingent on the closing of the Parris Holder Land Corporation site. Motion APPROVED**
4. **Motion to approve the concept of the paved trail at the Malabar Scrub Sanctuary as presented, and to delegate to the staff, the ability to work out design details with the Town of Malabar and Brevard County, and then if there is something egregiously different from what has been presented, Mike has the discretion to bring it back to the SMC if he thinks they need to see it again. Motion FAILED**
5. **Motion to conceptually agree with the most recent FIND property exchange option being presented by staff at the current meeting, while being open to consideration of other options which might move the project to the south if needed for permitting reasons. Motion APPROVED**

**FLORIDA INLAND NAVIGATIONAL DISTRICT
PROPERTY EXCHANGE OPTION
REVIEWED BY SMC SEPTEMBER 29, 2011**

